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Abstract

Background: The complexity of anticoagulation therapy requires a patient’s command of anticoagulation-related knowledge to assist in maintaining optimal therapy and reducing adverse events. Verbal evaluations may overestimate the patient’s comprehension of anticoagulation knowledge.

Objectives: This first phase study developed and pilot tested the Anticoagulation Knowledge Assessment (AKA) instrument, and provided validity evidence to support the use of the AKA. The AKA is an assessment instrument that (1) pharmacists can use to obtain objective evidence of patient anticoagulation....
knowledge, (2) can provide pharmacists with direction for patient-specific medication management education, and (3) functions as a tool for continuous quality improvement in anticoagulation education.

Methods: Using objective measurement methods, a convenience sample of 60 English-speaking patients receiving services from an inner-city and suburban pharmacist managed anticoagulation clinics was used in conjunction with objective measurement methods.

Results: Rasch analysis of 32 multiple-choice items representing 10 anticoagulation educational content areas demonstrated misfit statistics of less than 1.2. All 60 patients demonstrated person misfit statistics of less than 1.3. The educational content area was well represented and distributed.

Conclusions: Because the AKA performed well, the data support that information gained from the AKA will provide pharmacists with direction for anticoagulation management education that is targeted to each patient’s specific needs. Additionally, responses demonstrated objective data about those components of practice that are being taught effectively.

© 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Suboptimal anticoagulation therapy is a significant problem because it may lead to hemorrhagic and thromboembolic events. This is primarily because of the complex and labor-intensive process involved with the clinical management of patients receiving warfarin anticoagulation therapy. There is substantial evidence to support that pharmacist-directed anticoagulation management of patients is effective and leads to improved health outcomes. In addition, physician use of pharmacist-managed anticoagulation therapy and satisfaction with these services continue to grow. The appropriate use of indication-based therapeutic ranges and standardized laboratory-reporting format (ie, International Normalized Ratio, INR) has helped health care providers better manage patient therapy. These tools provide information that is used by pharmacists to maintain optimal therapeutic effectiveness for patients receiving warfarin. However, while these tools are necessary, they alone are insufficient for achieving effective, successful, and sustained anticoagulation therapy. Comprehensive patient education must be included.

Achieving therapeutic effectiveness and optimal outcomes for patients receiving warfarin remains complex, and is often a challenge to pharmacists and other health care providers because of the influence of genomics, comorbidities, patient’s age, additional prescription and/or over the counter medications, herbal products, dietary variability (eg, vitamin K intake,
anorexia), medication compliance, and metabolic states (eg, fever, thyroid conditions). Because of the complexity of these variables, the patient’s command of anticoagulation-related knowledge is an important component in maintaining optimal therapy and reducing adverse events. While pharmacists should and often do verify patient understanding verbally, these methods typically provide subjective evidence about what patients do and do not know. In addition, a verbal evaluation may overestimate the patient’s comprehension of anticoagulation knowledge.

In a prospective study of Chinese patients receiving anticoagulation therapy and anticoagulation education, patient knowledge of their anticoagulation therapy (warfarin) and INR control was evaluated. Sixty-six patients were asked to answer 9 core warfarin knowledge questions. Overall, patient knowledge of anticoagulation therapy was poor, with the elderly and patients with lower literacy demonstrating the least understanding. This study gave evidence to support that the greater a patients’ knowledge concerning his/her anticoagulation therapy, the better his/her INR control. A limitation, however, was that only 4 INRs were reviewed per patient.

Ideally, a written knowledge assessment would provide objective evidence that could be used to help pharmacists better understand what each patient knows about his/her therapy. The addition of patient-written knowledge assessments in diabetes education programs has demonstrated their usefulness to diabetes educators by identifying patient knowledge deficits and assisting educators in providing constructive feedback to patients and caregivers who contributed to health outcome improvement. However, to date, no known written patient knowledge assessments have been reported in the anticoagulation arena. The purpose of this study was to develop the Anticoagulation Knowledge Assessment (AKA) instrument and provide reliability and validity evidence to support its use in the anticoagulation patient population. Ultimately, this assessment method will help pharmacists assess patient knowledge deficits. Thus, it will facilitate the provision of effective and efficient anticoagulation education that is targeted to each patient’s specific needs. This study reports the results of the pilot testing of the 32-item AKA assessment instrument.

2. Methods

A review of the published literature and multiple anticoagulation clinic protocols was performed to identify educational content areas typically addressed in pharmacist-managed anticoagulation clinics. Interviews with anticoagulation pharmacists were conducted to gain additional insight into their practices and they were asked to list educational content areas that they felt were essential for patients to understand. Additionally, the information provided by the anticoagulation pharmacists delineated common misconceptions or misunderstandings that patients have
concerning their anticoagulation therapy. During the interview process, 10 major educational content areas were identified and these provided a template for the development of the assessment blueprint (Table 1).6,9,12,16-21

A multiple-choice question format was chosen for the development of the AKA instrument because it is the most appropriate and efficient mechanism for assessing cognitive knowledge.22,23 When information obtained from multiple-choice question items is analyzed using Rasch analysis, it provides data for the development of an instrument which will measure each patient’s knowledge on an interval scale.24-26 These properties allowed the impact of the educational interventions provided by the pharmacist (eg, patient counseling, teaching) to be measured objectively and evaluated. Thirty-two items were drafted to represent the 10 educational content areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content area</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medication</td>
<td>Rationale for therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How warfarin will benefit patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Planned length of therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication administration</td>
<td>When to take doses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Storage of medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication interactions</td>
<td>What to do in case of missed dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New prescription medications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OTC medications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Herbal medications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Fall precautions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contact sports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet</td>
<td>Vitamin K and dietary considerations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alcohol use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dietary consistency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side effects</td>
<td>Signs of over anticoagulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Signs of disease recurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy</td>
<td>Contraindication in pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informing health care providers</td>
<td>Pharmacists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nurses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All physicians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paramedics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dentists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coumadin Clinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Identification bracelets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedures</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dental work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory monitoring</td>
<td>PT/INR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency of monitoring</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OTC, over the counter.
2.1. Instrument development

To make valid interpretations regarding the information provided by an assessment tool, it is essential to evaluate how the tool was constructed and used in practice. The investigators used 5 criteria for instrument construction (ie, content concerns, formatting concerns, style concerns, writing the item stem, answer options) as recommended by Haladyna et al,27 and Case and Swanson28 to guide the development of the assessment items. Evidence of sampling adequacy, a critical component regarding content validity, was provided by using a cognitive taxonomy to vary the cognitive levels assessed.29

Two independent reviewers with expertise in item writing evaluated the AKA items for content, readability, and form. Items were revised per the reviewers’ comments prior to administration of the pilot instrument.

2.2. Measurement model and statistical analysis

The Rasch dichotomous model was selected to evaluate the responses provided by the AKA instrument because it provides objective evidence that all the items measure the same construct (ie, have unidimensionality) and produce additivity of measures (ie, true interval level data) when the data fit the model.30,31 Analysis with the Rasch model provides difficulty measures for each item and an ability estimate for each patient located on the same measurement scale in logits. A logit is a unit of measurement used in Rasch analysis for calibrating items and measuring persons, based on the natural logarithmic odds of the probability of a response. Rasch modeling also enables the investigator to identify and evaluate construct underrepresentation and construct irrelevant variance, both of which are major threats to internal validity.32-34 When the data fit the model, Rasch analysis identifies poorly functioning items (ie, items that may be misunderstood by patients) and unexpected responses by patients (ie, guessing or acquiescent response bias).25,35 Rasch analysis also provides an advantage when pilot testing an instrument because as few as 30 patients may be needed to obtain sufficient, useful, and reasonable estimates for detecting differences.35-37 For a more complete delineation of Rasch modeling and the specifics of the dichotomous model as used in pharmacy, the readers are referred to Jackson et al.24,35

Data were input into WINSTEPS version 3.51 (Mesa Press, Chicago, Ill) to calculate statistics and measures for the Rasch model.38 The output generated from WINSTEPS included separation reliability (item separation index and item reliability, person reliability), item INFIT and OUTFIT statistics, point-biserial score correlations, and the item expected score map. SPSS statistical analysis system version 11.0.1 for windows (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Ill) was used to evaluate demographic information.

The Institutional Review Board of University of Illinois at Chicago approved this research study.
2.3. Data collection

Two pharmacy-managed clinical sites were selected in Illinois to pilot the AKA instrument: an inner-city university-based antithrombosis clinic, providing access to ethnically diverse populations including the underserved, and a physician-owned medical group (ie, a system of 23 medical clinics) serving the western Chicago area suburbs. These sites were selected because they demonstrated well-established pharmacist-managed anticoagulation services that provided a comprehensive patient education component for 2 different patient demographics.

A convenience sample was used to pilot test the AKA instrument. English-speaking patients, 18 years or older, who were receiving pharmacist-managed anticoagulation education and counseling at either of the 2 study sites were asked to participate. Patients were asked to complete the 32-item AKA instrument on site while waiting for their appointment with the anticoagulation pharmacist. Patients who asked the investigator to read the instrument to them (illiteracy presumed, but not confirmed) were read the questions consecutively, along with the item answer choices.

3. Results

Study participation was voluntary. Sixty of the 100 patients asked, agreed to participate in the study and completed the AKA instrument (ie, 30 patients from the inner-city clinic and 30 patients from the suburban clinic). All patients were able to complete the instrument in approximately 20 minutes. Demographics for those who completed the instrument are shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample demographics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race and ethnicity (n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American or Alaska Indian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of clinic visits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of months receiving warfarin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education in years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Most patients at the suburban clinic were white (one Alaskan or Native American) while the inner-city patients identified themselves as African American (25 patients), Hispanic (6 patients), or white (3 patients). The average age of participating patients was 63 years (SD ± 19). Twenty-five of the 30 patients from the suburban clinic were older than 65 years, however, at the inner-city clinic, only 10 of the 30 patients were older than 65 years.

One consideration of validity refers to the sampling adequacy of the content area being measured. Content validity for the AKA instrument was supported through the use of Marzano’s Taxonomy, which is similar to Bloom’s Cognitive Taxonomy. The taxonomy verified that the AKA instrument provided varying levels of cognitive difficulty ranging from simple recall of facts to application and use of knowledge. Additionally, to ensure that the multiple-choice question items of the AKA instrument were formatted well (ie, to minimize the error collected), each item underwent a quality control check using the 31-item multiple-choice question appropriateness checklist of Haladyna et al.

An important consideration in the development of AKA items was that the instrument was designed to collect information from the general population including those with lower levels of literacy (ie, sixth-grade reading level). The final readability (grade level) of the pilot instrument was 7.6 using Microsoft Word XP readability statistics. The self-reported levels of education for the sample population varied greatly and are provided in Table 3.

Rasch analysis of the 32-item AKA pilot instrument identified 2 items exhibiting OUTFIT statistics greater than 1.2. These 2 items were related to pregnancy (see Appendix 2) and deleted from further analysis because they did not meet the unidimensional requirements of the Rasch model. In addition, the easiest item for subjects to answer correctly exhibited measurement redundancy (ie, MNSQ OUTFIT 0.29) demonstrating that responses for this item were over predicted. That is, while this information is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>68.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>76.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>78.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>95.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
important, it was generally well known to this population and contributed little to the measurement properties of the instrument (see location of item 30 in Figure 1). Evaluation of INFIT and OUTFIT statistics for the remaining 29 items in the AKA instrument showed that MNSQ values were

![Figure 1. Rasch item and person map.](image)

Development of additional items in this area of item difficulty may improve instrument measurement properties.

Item provided little information to measurement model.
less than 1.2 and greater than 0.8. Additionally, all items demonstrated point-biserial correlation values ranging from 0.20 to 0.53. Thus, these data exhibited good model fit and supported the unidimensionality and local independence requirements of the model. All 60 patients demonstrated person misfit statistics less than 1.3 indicating that the instrument functioned well in the sample population.

The separation index (ie, the extent that items are sufficiently spread out to define distinct levels of measurement ability) for the 29-item AKA instrument was 2.8, which translates to an item reliability (ie, the estimate of reproducibility of item placement within the hierarchy of difficulty across patients of differing abilities) of 0.88. This indicates that the items created a variable that was well spread out on the measurement scale and that item placement along the scale was reliable. The separation index for the 60 patients was 1.8 that represents a person reliability coefficient of 0.75 (analogous to Cronbach’s coefficient alpha).

An item distribution map was constructed to display visually the distribution of the patient ability measures and item difficulty calibration values on the same measurement scale in logits as can be seen in Figure 1. The scale measuring the AKA knowledge proficiency construct is laid out vertically with the most able persons and most difficult items at the top. This map shows visually the relationship between the patient’s cognitive knowledge performance and item difficulty. The left-hand column locates the patient’s ability and the right-hand column locates the item difficulty placement. The items are color coded to facilitate identification of the specific educational content areas that each of the items represented. Overall, the AKA instrument demonstrated an item difficulty distribution that was well targeted to the patient’s population. That is, from a measurement perspective, each patient’s ability measure (ie, as depicted by each “X” in Figure 1) was assessed adequately by items with calibration values in the same region of the measurement scale. Specifically, the educational content area related to medication information and side effects was well represented and distributed throughout the measurement continuum. Items representing diet educational content were located higher on the difficulty continuum than the other content areas as observed in the Rasch item/person map (see Figure 1).

4. Discussion

The final version of the revised AKA instrument (Appendix 1) contains 29 items located at different levels of difficulty that allowed differences in patient knowledge about the anticoagulation care patients receive to be evaluated. Assessment items for the educational content areas “medication information/administration” and “side effects” demonstrated exceptionally
good content coverage as demonstrated by the distribution of their placement throughout the item hierarchy.

This version of the AKA instrument was designed for use in a population where patients speak and/or write in the English language. Thus, patients who could not speak and/or write in the English language were excluded from the study. The authors are in the process of developing and equating a Spanish version of the AKA (ie, SAKA) to accommodate this population.

The patient demographics were different for the 2 data collection sites. However, the investigators believed that the mix of the demographics from the 2 practice sites provided a variation of experiences and knowledge to assist in the validity evaluation of the AKA.

The self-reported highest grade level of education completed by most patients was 12 years or more (n = 48, 80%) with one subject self-reporting a grade level less than the eighth grade. However, the researchers noted that a few patients requested the AKA to be read to them. This may suggest that the level of literacy for some individuals was less than the self-reported level of completed education. This finding is consistent with those reported in 2 studies that evaluated the self-reported grade level of literacy of patients receiving anticoagulation (ie, 12th-grade education but who were reading at an 8th-grade level).\textsuperscript{39,40} The AKA instrument was designed to be completed by patients with lower than average reading skills and demonstrated a reading level of 7.6 using Microsoft Word XP readability statistics. However, the authors believe that the AKA instrument functions at less than a seventh-grade reading level because many of the terms used in the instrument are those that patients become familiar with in the anticoagulation setting (ie, Coumadin, warfarin). Therefore, these clinically specific terms may artificially inflate the reading level as represented by the readability statistics. For example, when the words “Coumadin” and “warfarin” were removed from the instrument, the readability statistics demonstrated a reading level of 5.5. Regardless of whether the instrument was completed by actually reading the items or having the items read to them, the person fit statistics supported that the content of the items as represented in the AKA instrument was understood. That is, all 60 patients demonstrated person misfit statistics less than 1.3 indicating that the instrument performed well in the population in which it was administered and that the items were understood by each individual.

Constructing items for an assessment instrument is a challenging task that requires careful consideration.\textsuperscript{41} The Rasch model assisted the researchers by providing information that served as quality control for item functioning. The 2 educational items concerning pregnancy that exhibited unacceptable MNSQ OUTFIT statistics were deleted because they did not meet Rasch model requirements. In essence, these items did not function unidimensionally with the other assessment items. The authors believe this was because these 2 items were less applicable for men and women older than 55 years (ie, beyond childbearing age). Because women of childbearing age would be less likely to
be completing the AKA instrument (ie, less likely to be receiving warfarin therapy), items relating to pregnancy were not included in the final version of the AKA. However, when a woman of childbearing age is receiving anticoagulation, the authors recommend that these patients be asked information about pregnancy as relates to warfarin to obtain a more comprehensive evaluation. These two items are included in Appendix 2.

It is interesting to note that items concerning diet were more difficult for patients to answer correctly. This finding supports that this content area represented a more challenging area for patients to understand and is consistent with the findings of a written knowledge assessment for use in patients with diabetes.\textsuperscript{15}

Rasch model analysis helped the investigators determine how the AKA can be improved. A small gap in the measurement scale was identified at the upper end of the difficulty range (as can be seen in Figure 1); the addition of items targeted to these difficulty levels may increase the AKA’s ability to detect change in cognitive knowledge exhibited among patients of higher ability over time. However, the addition of items in these areas may not be necessary if future studies support that patients who attain higher ability levels demonstrate stable and therapeutic INR values. That is, the presence of these small measurement gaps may be of little clinical importance.

The validity evidence provided by analyses of the data collected in this study supported that AKA instrument performed well in the population in which it was administered. The AKA instrument demonstrated good item and person reliability indices supporting that the items gather information in the anticoagulation population in a manner that will reproduce similar results when re-administered in future applications. Additionally, the AKA instrument demonstrated that items calibration values were well targeted to the population and that no ceiling or floor effects were present. This allowed the detection of differences in individual patients. This measurement property of the instrument is an important consideration in detecting and tracking changes in the patient’s knowledge over time.

The AKA instrument was designed to be used primarily as a continuous quality improvement tool for use by clinical pharmacists who direct anticoagulation programs. It is expected that when knowledge deficits in patients are identified with the administration of this instrument early in therapy, an educational intervention will be developed that will lead to better patient understanding of their anticoagulation therapy. Therefore, it makes sense that the patients receiving this education would more quickly achieve and maintain prothrombin time (PT)/INR stability, thereby reducing the incidence of adverse events. Likewise, patient results reported in aggregate by each clinic using the AKA instrument may be used to improve the anticoagulation programs’ effectiveness in the delivery of patient education.

The piloting of the AKA instrument has already demonstrated its usefulness as a continuous quality improvement tool. Clinical pharmacists participating in this pilot study reported to investigators that several
patients had specific questions arising directly from their completion of the AKA instrument. These questions related to clarification of specific educational content areas and provided patient-driven opportunities for the pharmacist to further develop a pharmacist/patient relationship. This was an unexpected but well-received finding. Initially, there were concerns that administration of the AKA instrument would pose a significant burden to the patients; however, patients were stimulated to engage the pharmacist, thereby, facilitating patient/pharmacist interaction and re-education of unclear or misunderstood educational content areas.

The AKA instrument can provide good continuous quality improvement to measure performance of program facilitators. Providers should expect that a patient understands certain concepts after a specified number of visits. Ultimately, the goal is that the patient incorporates the information gained from his/her encounter with the clinician into daily use, empowering patients to take charge of their own health care. Use of the AKA instrument will identify concepts that the patient has a less than complete understanding of. Identification of these gaps in knowledge will allow the pharmacist to focus on each of these content areas during follow-up clinic visits.

The authors suspect there is a relationship between the level of patient knowledge about anticoagulation and the attainment of therapeutic PT/INR, stability over time, and the incidence of adverse events. Thus, this relationship will be investigated during the next phase of the AKA validity studies.

5. Conclusions

These data clearly show the practical application of validation techniques and Rasch analysis in designing and evaluating information obtained from the patient population that is meaningful in its interpretation. The information gained from using the AKA instrument will provide pharmacists with direction for anticoagulation management education that is targeted to each patient’s specific needs. Additionally, the patient’s responses will provide pharmacists with objective data about those components of practice that are being taught effectively. Thus, the instrument also serves as quality control for pharmacist/patient counseling effectiveness. The methodology used in the development of AKA instrument provides a template that can be used to produce assessment instruments for other clinical areas (eg, diabetes, asthma, dyslipidemia).
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Appendix 1: AKA instrument (correct answers indicated with *)

1. Which one of these medications is recommended if you are taking Coumadin (warfarin) and want relief from a headache?
   a. Advil
   b. Motrin
   c. Aspirin
   d. Tylenol*

2. Which of the following food items would interfere with your Coumadin (warfarin) medication?
   a. Bacon
   b. Broccoli*
   c. Bananas
   d. Peeled cucumbers

3. While on Coumadin (warfarin) medication, in which of the following would you go directly to the emergency room?
   a. Small bruises
   b. Your appetite dramatically increases
   c. Nosebleed which will not stop bleeding*
   d. Gums which bleed for a few seconds after brushing teeth

4. You just remembered that you forgot to take your evening Coumadin (warfarin) medication dose last night. You would ——
   a. skip the dose of Coumadin (warfarin) you missed*
   b. take the missed Coumadin (warfarin) dose right now
   c. wait and take 2 doses of Coumadin (warfarin) this evening
   d. take one-half of the missed dose of Coumadin (warfarin) right now

5. While on Coumadin (warfarin) you ——
   a. should not eat spinach
   b. can eat spinach one time a month
   c. can eat as much spinach as you would like whenever you would like
   d. can eat spinach but need to eat the same amount regularly every week*

6. While out with friends for dinner, you have just finished your third glass of wine. This amount of alcohol consumed in a single evening will——
   a. cause a decrease in your INR
   b. cause an increase in your INR*
   c. not affect you or your Coumadin (warfarin) in any way
   d. make you sick when taking Coumadin (warfarin) medication

7. While in your pharmacy, you notice multivitamins are on sale. After some thought, you decide that you may need a multivitamin. You would——
   a. purchase the multivitamin and begin taking it regularly
   b. not take a multivitamin because it will cause a blood clot while taking Coumadin (warfarin)
c. start taking it and bring the multivitamin to your next Coumadin Clinic visit to show the pharmacist
d. purchase the multivitamin but not start taking it until you talked with the pharmacist at your Coumadin Clinic*

8. If you ran out of your prescription for your Coumadin (warfarin) you would—
   a. borrow Coumadin (warfarin) from a friend, as long as it is the same dose as yours
   b. call and ask for refills for that day so you do not miss a dose of Coumadin (warfarin)*
   c. wait until your next appointment that is just a few days away to get a new prescription
   d. do nothing because you have taken Coumadin (warfarin) long enough, otherwise there would be more refills on your prescription

9. Which of the following is an effect of Coumadin (warfarin) medication that will most likely be experienced?
   a. Stroke
   b. Leg clot
   c. Bruising*
   d. Blood in the urine

10. You have a cold, which includes a runny nose and a cough. You——
    a. could safely take Nyquil to help get rid of the runny nose and cough
    b. take your friend’s medication that he/she uses for a bad cold because he/she is also on Coumadin (warfarin) medication
    c. would call the Coumadin Clinic and tell him/her you are on Coumadin (warfarin) medication and ask what you can take for your cold*
    d. decide it is safer to suffer through the cold because most cold medications will interact with your Coumadin (warfarin) medication

11. When making a dental appointment while taking Coumadin (warfarin) medication, you need to remember you——
    a. cannot have procedures done on your teeth while taking Coumadin (warfarin)
    b. must tell your dentist you are taking Coumadin (warfarin) well in advance of having any procedure done*
    c. can have procedures done and there is not a need to tell the dentist about the Coumadin (warfarin)
    d. can have the dental procedure done if when you arrive at your dental appointment you tell the dentist you are taking Coumadin (warfarin)

12. When the need arises to take an antibiotic (to get rid of an infection) while taking Coumadin (warfarin), you need to——
    a. take half of the prescribed length of therapy, and then call the Coumadin Clinic
    b. refuse to take any new medication because you are taking Coumadin (warfarin)
c. wait until your next Coumadin Clinic visit and then tell the pharmacist about the antibiotic
d. call the Coumadin Clinic right away and let them know you are starting a new medication*

13. Coumadin (warfarin) works—
   a. in my liver to make my blood thicker
   b. in my liver to make my blood thinner*
   c. in my kidneys to make my blood thicker
   d. in my kidneys to make my blood thinner

14. The best time of day for me to take my Coumadin (warfarin) is—
   a. at lunchtime
   b. in the evening*
   c. in the morning before breakfast
   d. any time of day when I remember

15. Which of the following is an effect of my Coumadin (warfarin) medication that I will most likely experience if my INR is too high?
   a. A clot in the leg
   b. Minor bleeding*
   c. Clot in the lung
   d. Bleeding in the brain

16. Which of the following drinks can decrease the effectiveness of your Coumadin (warfarin)?
   a. Deans 2% low-fat milk
   b. Hershey’s chocolate shake
   c. Tropicana orange juice
   d. Ensure nutritional supplement*

17. While taking Coumadin (warfarin), which of the following represents a situation when you should go to the emergency room?
   a. You cough up blood*
   b. Your nose bleeds slightly while blowing it
   c. You gums bleed after brushing your teeth then it stops quickly
   d. You have cut yourself while shaving and you control the bleeding

18. Your neighbor brings over this great “all natural” herbal supplement she just bought from her chiropractor. She swears that this helps all her aches and pains and recommends that you take it when you ache. Your decision is to—
   a. take her advice, realizing that you could use this herbal supplement
   b. start taking the herbal supplement and tell your pharmacist at the next office visit
   c. ask your pharmacist if the herbal supplement will interact with your medications before you take it*
   d. avoid taking herbal supplements altogether because all medications interact with Coumadin (warfarin)
19. Once you have reached a stable Coumadin (warfarin) dose, a PT/INR blood test——
   a. should be checked once a year
   b. should be checked once every 3 months
   c. should be checked at least once every 4 weeks*
   d. does not need to be checked once you are on a stable Coumadin (warfarin) dose

20. The results of your PT/INR test tells the pharmacist——
   a. how thick or thin your blood is while taking Coumadin (warfarin)*
   b. how well your kidneys are working since taking Coumadin (warfarin)
   c. what your average blood sugar level was since taking Coumadin (warfarin)
   d. how much alcohol you have been drinking since taking Coumadin (warfarin)

21. While taking Coumadin (warfarin), you should call your Coumadin Clinic when you get:
   a. a backache
   b. an upset stomach
   c. a tension headache
   d. diarrhea for more than 1 day*

22. While on Coumadin (warfarin) you need to be routinely monitored for which of the following:
   a. PT/INR tests*
   b. Potassium levels
   c. Blood glucose levels
   d. Kidney function tests

23. Which of the following may have a significant effect on how well your Coumadin (warfarin) works?
   a. Changes in your mood
   b. Changes in sleep habits
   c. How much water you drink
   d. Using over the counter medications*

24. While taking Coumadin (warfarin), which of the following should lead you to the emergency room?
   a. Loss of appetite
   b. Brown loose stools
   c. Urine becomes red in color*
   d. A quarter size bruise on your arm

25. Which of the following foods could affect how well your Coumadin (warfarin) works?
   a. Celery
   b. Carrots
   c. Cole slaw*
   d. Green beans
26. You have generic and brand Coumadin (warfarin) tablets at home that are both the same dose. You should——
   a. take both because they work differently
   b. take only brand or only generic, but not both*
   c. not take either until you call the Coumadin Clinic
   d. alternate days by taking brand on one day and generic on the next day

27. Once your Coumadin (warfarin) is stopped, how long does it take to get the medication to get out of your system?
   a. 5 hours
   b. 5 days*
   c. 5 weeks
   d. 5 months

28. After starting Coumadin (warfarin), how long (in months/years) would you expect to be taking Coumadin (warfarin)?
   a. 1 year
   b. 1 month
   c. It depends on each person’s needs*
   d. If you start Coumadin (warfarin), you will have to be on the medication for the rest of your life

29. Which of the following activities are more risky while taking Coumadin (warfarin)?
   a. Playing football, because you can hit your head*
   b. Taking a bath, because soap interacts with Coumadin (warfarin)
   c. Playing cards because using your hands a lot will cause a blood clot
   d. Walking a lot, because exercise is not good for you while taking Coumadin (warfarin)

Appendix 2: Items related to pregnancy (correct answers indicated with *)

   Young women of childbearing age who are also at risk for blood clots and are on Coumadin (warfarin) therapy are told——
   a. Coumadin (warfarin) is acceptable to use during the last 3 months of pregnancy
   b. Coumadin (warfarin) is acceptable to use during the first 3 months of pregnancy
   c. to use some form of birth control to prevent pregnancy while on Coumadin (warfarin)*
   d. Coumadin (warfarin) is only acceptable to use in pregnancy as long as the pharmacist and physician know that you are pregnant
Women who are pregnant:

a. should not take Coumadin (warfarin)*
b. can safely take Coumadin (warfarin) throughout the entire pregnancy
c. can take Coumadin (warfarin), but only need to take it every other day
d. would not need to take Coumadin (warfarin), since being pregnant prevents them from getting blood clots